

No. 13160-4Lab-75/1699.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Go-Tax Goyal Textile, Naya Bazar, Bhiwani:—

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No 44 of 1975

between

SHRI BALBIR AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S GO-TAX GOYAL TEXTILE, NAYA
BAZAR, BHIWANI.

AWARD

By order No. ID/HRS/141-A-75/35916-20, dated 19th June, 1975 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Go-Tax Goyal Textile, Naya Bazar, Bhiwani and its workman Shri Balbir was referred for adjudication to this court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Balbir was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

Shri Sham Sunder authorised representative for the workman who appeared before me on 27th October, 1975 wanted to examine the workman in support of the demand initially raised by him on the management leading to the reference. No body put in his appearance on behalf of the management on 27th October, 1975 despite being directed to do so and file their written statement. *Ex parte* proceedings were, therefore, taken up against the management on 27th October, 1975 and the workman was asked to adduce his *ex parte* evidence on 28th October, 1975.

Shri Sham Sunder appeared on 28th October, 1975 and regretted his inability to examine the workman on the ground that the latter failed to come for that purpose despite direction sent to him.

The failure of Shri Sham Sunder to examine the workman for the reasons stated by him indicates want of interest of the latter to pursue the demand and leads to a conclusion that there is no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I, therefore, return a no-dispute award with no order as to costs.

Dated 6th November, 1975.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 12943-4Lab-75/1701.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s National Paints (P) Ltd. Sonapat:—

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 198 of 1973

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M. S. NATIONAL PAINTS
(P) LTD., SONEPAT

AWARD

By order No. ID/RK/70-A-73/44359, dated 20th November, 1973 the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s National Paints (P) Ltd., Sonapat and its workmen to this Tribunal, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the workers should be paid bonus for the years 1970-71 and 1971-72? If so, with what details?

No body is present for the workmen, despite service of notice of reference on the General Secretary, General Workers Union, Sonapat, the address as supplied in the reference. No other address has been supplied by any of the workmen for service of notice. Under the circumstances have no option but to

hold that none of the workmen is interested in the demand raised by him on the management, giving rise to this reference and there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I return a no-dispute award.

Dated 10th November, 1975.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 1701, dated 10th November, 1975.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 10th November, 1975.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officers,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 13068-4Lab-75/1736.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Haryana Paper Mills, 51 Industrial Area, N.I.T., Faridabad:—

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 149 of 1974

between

SHRI JARNAIL SINGH WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S HARYANA
PAPER MILLS, 51 INDUSTRIAL AREA, N.I.T., FARIDABAD

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/859-A-74/34454, dated 9th October, 1974, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Haryana Paper Mills, 51 Industrial Area, N.I.T., Faridabad and its workmen Shri Jarnail Singh to this Tribunal, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Jarnail Singh, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The parties appeared on 1st July, 1975 in this Tribunal in response to the notices of reference sent to them. As Notification in respect of the new Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, was not made by that day, after the expiry of the term of my predecessor, they were directed to appear on 1st August, 1975. As none of them was present on that date, I directed that fresh notices of the reference be issued to the parties directing them to appear before me on 10th October, 1975.

The authorised representative for the workman declined to accept the service of the notices and no other address of the workman having been supplied by the latter, *ex parte* proceedings were taken up against him on 10th October, 1975 and the management was directed to adduce their *ex parte* evidence on 10th November, 1975.

Shri R. C. Sharma appearing for the management did not propose to adduce any *ex parte* evidence, leading to the conclusion that neither party was interested in pursuing the reference and there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I return the award accordingly.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Dated 13th November, 1975.

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 1714, dated 13th November, 1975.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 13th November, 1975.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.